1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 TRINITY CAMPBELL, an individual, 9 Case No. 2:22-cv-00864-RSL Plaintiff, 10 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' v. MOTION TO DISMISS OR 11 TRANSFER MOD SUPER FAST PIZZA, LLC, a 12 Washington Limited Liability Company, and MOD SUPER FAST PIZZA FRANCHISING, 13 LLC, a Washington Limited Liability Company, 14 Defendants. 15 16 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on "Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for 17 Improper Venue or, in the Alternative[,] to Transfer." Dkt. # 11. Having reviewed the 18 memoranda, declarations, and exhibits submitted by the parties, the Court finds as follows: 19 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3), a Title VII action may be brought in any judicial 20 district "where the unlawful employment practice is alleged to have been committed," where 21 "the employment records relevant to such practice are maintained and administered," or where 22 "the aggrieved person would have worked but for the alleged unlawful employment practice." 23 Only if the defendant is not found in any of those districts can it be sued where it has its principal 24 place of business. Plaintiff has the burden of showing that her chosen venue is permissible under 25 the governing statute. Piedmont Label Co. v. Sun Garden Packing Co., 598 F.2d 491, 496 (9th 26

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER

Cir. 1979); Design Res., Inc. v. Leather Indus. of Am., Inc., No. C09-0611RSM, 2010 WL 342181, at *2 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 21, 2010).

The hostile work environment of which plaintiff complains occurred in Texas, there is no indication that relevant employment records are maintained in Washington, and plaintiff does not allege that she intended to or would have worked in Washington. Nevertheless, venue is proper under Section 2000e-5(f)(3). In addition to her hostile work environment claim, plaintiff asserts that defendants, acting through their human resources employees at their headquarters in Bellevue, Washington, failed to take prompt and effective remedial action regarding her complaint, thereby exposing themselves to liability for their employees' discriminatory conduct and causing plaintiff's involuntary termination. Because Title VII allows plaintiff to file suit in any judicial district "where the unlawful employment practice is alleged to have been committed," venue under Section 2000e-5(f)(3) is proper.

Defendants argue in the alternative that the matter should be transferred to the Eastern District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. § 1404. That section provides:

"For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought." . . . The defendant must make a strong showing of inconvenience to warrant upsetting the plaintiff's choice of forum. . . . As part of this inquiry, the court should consider private and public interest factors affecting the convenience of the forum. . . . Private factors include the relative ease of access to sources of proof; availability of compulsory process for attendance of unwilling, and the cost of obtaining attendance of willing, witnesses; possibility of view of premises, if view would be appropriate to the action; and all other practical problems that make trial of a case easy, expeditious and inexpensive. . . . Public factors include the administrative difficulties flowing from court congestion; the local interest in having localized controversies decided at home;

of Washington in May and June 2020: simply raising a hypothetical possibility is insufficient.

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'

MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER

¹ Defendants fault plaintiff for failing to prove that the corporate employees who handled her complaint were actually in Washington at the relevant time. When ruling on a Rule 12(b)(3) motion, the Court need not accept allegations as true if facts outside the pleadings controvert the complaint. *Murphy v. Schneider Nat'l, Inc.*, 362 F.3d 1133 (9th Cir. 2004). Defendants have not, however, provided evidence that the corporate employees were outside

1 the interest in having the trial of a diversity case in a forum that is at home with the law that must govern the action; the avoidance of unnecessary problems in 2 conflict of laws, or in the application of foreign law; and the unfairness of 3 burdening citizens in an unrelated forum with jury duty. 4 Decker Coal Co. v. Commonwealth Edison Co., 805 F.2d 834, 843 (9th Cir. 1986) (internal 5 citations and quotation marks omitted). Defendants bear the burden of showing that transfer 6 under Section 1404(a) is warranted. After considering the factors enumerated in *Jones v. GNC* Franchising, Inc., 211 F.3d 495, 49899 (9th Cir. 2000),² the Court finds that defendants have not 7 8 made a strong enough showing of unfairness or inconvenience to upset plaintiff's choice of 9 forum. 10 11 For all of the foregoing reasons, defendants' motion to dismiss or to transfer venue (Dkt. 12 #11) is DENIED. 13 Dated this 31st day of October, 2022. 14 15 MMS Casnik 16 Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 ² The Ninth Circuit requires an individualized and case-by-case consideration of factors related to convenience and 23 fairness when determining whether to transfer under § 1404(a) and has identified various relevant considerations, including: "(1) the location where the relevant agreements were negotiated and executed, (2) the state that is most 24 familiar with the governing law, (3) the plaintiff's choice of forum, (4) the respective parties' contacts with the forum, (5) the contacts relating to the plaintiff's cause of action in the chosen forum, (6) the differences in the costs 25 of litigation in the two forums, (7) the availability of compulsory process to compel attendance of unwilling nonparty witnesses, ... (8) the ease of access to sources of proof," (9) the presence of a forum selection clause, and (10) 26 the relevant public policy of the forum state. *Jones*, 211 F.3d at 498–99.

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER